



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/526,547

03/03/2005

Jyri Hamalainen

59643.00583

5269

32294

7590

12/28/2009

SQUIRE, SANDERS & DEMPSEY L.L.P.  
8000 TOWERS CRESCENT DRIVE  
14TH FLOOR  
VIENNA, VA 22182-6212

EXAMINER

HERRERA, DIEGO D

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2617

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

12/28/2009

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/526,547	<b>Applicant(s)</b> HAMALAINEN ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> DIEGO HERRERA	<b>Art Unit</b> 2617	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 August 2009.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \*    c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)         | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)           |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____                                      |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)         | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____   | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                          |

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### ***Response to Amendment***

Claims 1, 9, 17 and 18 have been amended.

### ***Response to Arguments***

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-18 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary.

Art Unit: 2617

Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1, 4, 7-9,12, and 15-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Parsa et al. (US 6643318 B1), and in view of Shattil (US 20020034191 A1).

**Regarding claim 1.** a method of initiating a telecommunications uplink from a mobile terminal to a telecommunications network (abstract, title, ¶: 22-26, Shattil teaches uplink between the base station to the mobile terminal), the mobile terminal having a transmission chain including a baseband stage (¶: 19, 265; baseband information is disclosed by Shattil), a power amplification stage (¶: 164, power amplifiers are disclosed Shattil) and an antenna (fig. 8 element 309; Shattil teaches antenna), the method comprising:

transmitting a preamble signal from the mobile terminal at a first time, the preamble signal being transmitted in accordance with a transmission parameter of the mobile terminal (col. 2 lines: 65—col. 3 lines: 5, Parsa et al. teaches preamble signal signatures sent by mobile terminal to base station which corresponds to the spreading code and/or the scrambling code used by the network to define the selected logical channel at the physical layer of the CDMA network);

determining whether a base station has successfully received the preamble

Art Unit: 2617

signal and if so, establishing an uplink to the base station on the basis of the first transmission parameter (col. 3 lines: 5-10, Parsa et al. teaches determination means wherein the mobile terminal stops transmitting when access preambles has been picked up and detected by the base station); and

in the event it is not determined that a base station has successfully received the preamble signal, changing the transmission parameter, and repeating the transmitting of the preamble signal and the determining whether a base station has successfully received the preamble signal at a time later than the first time (col. 15 lines: 13-32, Parsa et al. teaches the option when a mobile station does not receive a indication that the base station has received acquisition of the preamble signal it retransmit the access preamble, hence, repeated at a different time a retry to uplink to base station when is determined that base station has not successfully acknowledge the access preamble of the mobile station);

wherein the transmission parameter controls one or more of the baseband stage, power amplification stages and the antenna such that changing the transmission parameter results in an alteration of a signal diversity of one or more preambles as received by the base station at the later time (col. 11 lines: 40-56, col. 15 lines: 13-32, Parsa et al. teaches the option when a mobile station does not receive a indication that the base station has received acquisition of the preamble signal it retransmit the access preamble, hence, repeated at a different time a retry to uplink to base station when is determined that base station has not successfully acknowledge the access preamble of the mobile station by changing parameters as mentioned in Parsa et al.), and

Art Unit: 2617

However, the reference of Parsa et al. does not disclose wherein the signal diversity comprises multipath and/or time diversity, however, it is analogous in the art and taught by Shattil (¶: 4, 77, Shattil teaches that multipath is inherent in OFDM and the system uses RAKE antennas which uses multipath and time diversity constructs). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention of Parsa et al. to specifically include the one of the signal diversity of multipath or time diversity, as taught by Shattil, for the purposes of having a simpler transceiver designs, abstract.

**Regarding claim 9.** An apparatus, comprising:

a transmission chain including a baseband stage, a power amplification stage (col. 2 lines:53—col. 3 lines: 14, Parsa et al. teaches power levels and maximum number of access preambles) and an antenna (fig. 8 element 309; Parsa et al. teaches antenna); a transmitter configured to transmit a preamble signal in accordance with a transmission parameter of the apparatus mobile terminal at a first time (col. 11 lines: 40-56, col. 15 lines: 13-32, Parsa et al. teaches the option when a mobile station does not receive a indication that the base station has received acquisition of the preamble signal it retransmit the access preamble, hence, repeated at a different time a retry to uplink to base station when is determined that base station has not successfully acknowledge the access preamble of the mobile station by changing parameters as mentioned in Parsa et al.);

a determiner configured to determine whether a base station has successfully received the preamble signal and if so, to establish an uplink to the base station

Art Unit: 2617

on the basis of the first transmission parameter (col. 11 lines: 40-56, col. 15 lines: 13-32, Parsa et al. teaches the option when a mobile station does not receive a indication that the base station has received acquisition of the preamble signal it retransmit the access preamble, hence, repeated at a different time a retry to uplink to base station when is determined that base station has not successfully acknowledge the access preamble of the mobile station by changing parameters as mentioned in Parsa et al.); and

in the event it is not determined that a base station has successfully received the preamble signal, a changing unit is configured to change the transmission parameter, and repeat the transmission of the preamble signal and the determination of whether the base station has successfully received the preamble signal at a time later than the first time (col. 11 lines: 40-56, col. 15 lines: 13-32, Parsa et al. teaches the option when a mobile station does not receive a indication that the base station has received acquisition of the preamble signal it retransmit the access preamble, hence, repeated at a different time a retry to uplink to base station when is determined that base station has not successfully acknowledge the access preamble of the mobile station by changing parameters as mentioned in Parsa et al.),

wherein the transmission parameter controls one or more of the baseband stage, power amplification stages and the antenna such that changing the transmission parameter results in an alteration of a signal diversity of one or more preambles as received by the base station at the later time (col. 11 lines: 40-56, col. 15 lines: 13-32, Parsa et al. teaches the option when a mobile station does not

Art Unit: 2617

receive a indication that the base station has received acquisition of the preamble signal it retransmit the access preamble, hence, repeated at a different time a retry to uplink to base station when is determined that base station has not successfully acknowledge the access preamble of the mobile station by changing parameters as mentioned in Parsa et al.), and

However, the reference of Parsa et al. does not discloses wherein the signal diversity comprises multipath and/or time diversity, however, it is analogous in the art and taught by Shattil (¶: 4, 77, Shattil teaches that multipath is inherent in OFDM and the system uses RAKE antennas which uses multipath and time diversity constructs). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention of Parsa et al. to specifically include the one of the signal diversity of multipath or time diversity, as taught by Shattil, for the purposes of having a simpler transceiver designs, abstract.

**Regarding claim 17.** a computer program, embodied on a computer-readable medium, the computer program configured to control a processor to perform a method, the method comprising:

a transmission chain including a baseband stage, a power amplification stage (col. 2 lines:53—col. 3 lines: 14, Parsa et al. teaches power levels and maximum number of access preambles) and an antenna (fig. 8 element 309; Parsa et al. teaches antenna); a transmitter configured to transmit a preamble signal in accordance with a transmission parameter of the apparatus mobile terminal at a first time (col. 11 lines: 40-56, col. 15 lines: 13-32, Parsa et al. teaches the option when a mobile station does not receive a indication that the base station



Art Unit: 2617

has received acquisition of the preamble signal it retransmit the access preamble, hence, repeated at a different time a retry to uplink to base station when is determined that base station has not successfully acknowledge the access preamble of the mobile station by changing parameters as mentioned in Parsa et al.);

a determiner configured to determine whether a base station has successfully received the preamble signal and if so, to establish an uplink to the base station on the basis of the first transmission parameter (col. 11 lines: 40-56, col. 15 lines: 13-32, Parsa et al. teaches the option when a mobile station does not receive a indication that the base station has received acquisition of the preamble signal it retransmit the access preamble, hence, repeated at a different time a retry to uplink to base station when is determined that base station has not successfully acknowledge the access preamble of the mobile station by changing parameters as mentioned in Parsa et al.); and

in the event it is not determined that a base station has successfully received the preamble signal, a changing unit is configured to change the transmission parameter, and repeat the transmission of the preamble signal and the determination of whether the base station has successfully received the preamble signal at a time later than the first time (col. 11 lines: 40-56, col. 15 lines: 13-32, Parsa et al. teaches the option when a mobile station does not receive a indication that the base station has received acquisition of the preamble signal it retransmit the access preamble, hence, repeated at a different time a retry to uplink to base station when is determined that base station has not

Art Unit: 2617

successfully acknowledge the access preamble of the mobile station by changing parameters as mentioned in Parsa et al.),

wherein the transmission parameter controls one or more of the baseband stage, power amplification stages and the antenna such that changing the transmission parameter results in an alteration of a signal diversity of one or more preambles as received by the base station at the later time (col. 11 lines: 40-56, col. 15 lines: 13-32, Parsa et al. teaches the option when a mobile station does not receive a indication that the base station has received acquisition of the preamble signal it retransmit the access preamble, hence, repeated at a different time a retry to uplink to base station when is determined that base station has not successfully acknowledge the access preamble of the mobile station by changing parameters as mentioned in Parsa et al.), and

However, the reference of Parsa et al. does not discloses wherein the signal diversity comprises multipath and/or time diversity, however, it is analogous in the art and taught by Shattil (¶: 4, 77, Shattil teaches that multipath is inherent in OFDM and the system uses RAKE antennas which uses multipath and time diversity constructs). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention of Parsa et al. to specifically include the one of the signal diversity of multipath or time diversity, as taught by Shattil, for the purposes of having a simpler transceiver designs, abstract.

**Regarding claim 18.** an apparatus comprising:

a transmission chain including a baseband stage, a power amplification stage (col. 2 lines:53—col. 3 lines: 14, Parsa et al. teaches power levels and maximum

Art Unit: 2617

number of access preambles) and an antenna (fig. 8 element 309; Parsa et al. teaches antenna); a transmitter configured to transmit a preamble signal in accordance with a transmission parameter of the apparatus mobile terminal at a first time (col. 11 lines: 40-56, col. 15 lines: 13-32, Parsa et al. teaches the option when a mobile station does not receive a indication that the base station has received acquisition of the preamble signal it retransmit the access preamble, hence, repeated at a different time a retry to uplink to base station when is determined that base station has not successfully acknowledge the access preamble of the mobile station by changing parameters as mentioned in Parsa et al.);

a determiner configured to determine whether a base station has successfully received the preamble signal and if so, to establish an uplink to the base station on the basis of the first transmission parameter (col. 11 lines: 40-56, col. 15 lines: 13-32, Parsa et al. teaches the option when a mobile station does not receive a indication that the base station has received acquisition of the preamble signal it retransmit the access preamble, hence, repeated at a different time a retry to uplink to base station when is determined that base station has not successfully acknowledge the access preamble of the mobile station by changing parameters as mentioned in Parsa et al.), and

in the event it is not determined that a base station has successfully received the preamble signal, a changing unit is configured to change the transmission parameter, and repeat the transmission of the preamble signal and the determination of whether the base station has successfully received the

Art Unit: 2617

preamble signal at a time later than the first time (col. 11 lines: 40-56, col. 15 lines: 13-32, Parsa et al. teaches the option when a mobile station does not receive a indication that the base station has received acquisition of the preamble signal it retransmit the access preamble, hence, repeated at a different time a retry to uplink to base station when is determined that base station has not successfully acknowledge the access preamble of the mobile station by changing parameters as mentioned in Parsa et al.)

wherein the transmission parameter controls one or more of the baseband stage, power amplification stages and the antenna such that changing the transmission parameter results in an alteration of a signal diversity of one or more preambles as received by the base station at the later time (col. 11 lines: 40-56, col. 15 lines: 13-32, Parsa et al. teaches the option when a mobile station does not receive a indication that the base station has received acquisition of the preamble signal it retransmit the access preamble, hence, repeated at a different time a retry to uplink to base station when is determined that base station has not successfully acknowledge the access preamble of the mobile station by changing parameters as mentioned in Parsa et al.), and

However, the reference of Parsa et al. does not discloses wherein the signal diversity comprises multipath and/or time diversity, however, it is analogous in the art and taught by Shattil (¶: 4, 77, Shattil teaches that multipath is inherent in OFDM and the system uses RAKE antennas which uses multipath and time diversity constructs). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention of Parsa et al. to specifically

Art Unit: 2617

include the one of the signal diversity of multipath or time diversity, as taught by Shattil, for the purposes of having a simpler transceiver designs, abstract.

**Consider claim 4.** The method according to claim 1, the combination discloses wherein

the transmission parameter indicates a frequency band, each preamble being transmitted via the frequency band indicated by the current transmission parameter (col. 11 lines: 40-56, col. 15 lines: 13-32, Parsa et al. teaches the option when a mobile station does not receive a indication that the base station has received acquisition of the preamble signal it retransmit the access preamble, hence, repeated at a different time a retry to uplink to base station when is determined that base station has not successfully acknowledge the access preamble of the mobile station by changing parameters as mentioned in Parsa et al.).

**Consider claim 7.** The method according to claim 1, wherein the uplink is established in accordance with the transmission parameter used when the base station successfully received the preamble at the first time or at a later time than the first time (col. 11 lines: 40-56, col. 15 lines: 13-32, col. 14 lines: 40—col. 16 lines: 16, Parsa et al. teaches the option when a mobile station does not receive a indication that the base station has received acquisition of the preamble signal it retransmit the access preamble, hence, repeated at a different time a retry to uplink to base station when is determined that base station has not successfully acknowledge the access preamble of the mobile station by changing parameters as mentioned in Parsa et al.; furthermore, the reference teaches the setting up

Art Unit: 2617

and re-transmission of preamble having counter set to a threshold for failure notice).

**Consider claim 8.** The method according to claim 1, wherein the transmission parameter includes a power level at which each preamble is transmitted, the power level being increased between at least some sequentially adjacent preamble transmissions (col. 11 lines: 40-56, col. 15 lines: 13-32, Parsa et al. teaches the option when a mobile station does not receive a indication that the base station has received acquisition of the preamble signal it retransmit the access preamble, hence, repeated at a different time a retry to uplink to base station when is determined that base station has not successfully acknowledge the access preamble of the mobile station by changing parameters as mentioned in Parsa et al.).

**Consider claim 12.** The apparatus according to claim 9, wherein the transmission parameter is configured to indicate a frequency band, each preamble being transmitted via the frequency band indicated by the current transmission parameter (col. 11 lines: 40-56, col. 15 lines: 13-32, Parsa et al. teaches the option when a mobile station does not receive a indication that the base station has received acquisition of the preamble signal it retransmit the access preamble, hence, repeated at a different time a retry to uplink to base station when is determined that base station has not successfully acknowledge the access preamble of the mobile station by changing parameters as mentioned in Parsa et al.).

**Consider claim 15.** (Currently Amended) The apparatus according to claim 9,

Art Unit: 2617

wherein the uplink is established in accordance with the transmission parameter used when the base station successfully received the preamble at the first time or at a later time than the first time (col. 11 lines: 40-56, col. 15 lines: 13-32, col. 14 lines: 40—col. 16 lines: 16, Parsa et al. teaches the option when a mobile station does not receive a indication that the base station has received acquisition of the preamble signal it retransmit the access preamble, hence, repeated at a different time a retry to uplink to base station when is determined that base station has not successfully acknowledge the access preamble of the mobile station by changing parameters as mentioned in Parsa et al.; furthermore, the reference teaches the setting up and re-transmission of preamble having counter set to a threshold for failure notice).

**Consider claim 16.** The apparatus according to claim 9, wherein the transmission parameter includes a power level at which each preamble is transmitted, the power level being increased between at least some sequentially adjacent preamble transmissions (§§: 17-20, Parsa et al. teaches control for preamble in the CI adaptively).

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 2-3, 5-6, 10-11, and 13-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Parsa et al. and Shattil (US 20020034191 A1), and in view of Wang et al. (US 7013146 B2).

**Consider claim 2.** The method according to claim 1, wherein the transmission chain includes at least two antennae, and the transmission parameter determines which of the antennae the preamble is transmitted from (abstract, col. 1 lines: 34-63, Wang et al. teaches MIMO devices that select which antennae is to be used



Art Unit: 2617

to accomplish link adaptation).

**Consider claim 3.** The method according to claim 2, wherein the preamble is transmitted from only one of the antennae at a time (abstract, col. 1 lines: 34-63, Wang et al. teaches MIMO devices that select which antennae is to be used to accomplish link adaptation).

**Consider claim 5.** The method according to claim 1, wherein the transmission chain includes a plurality of antennae in an antenna array (abstract, col. 1 lines: 34-63, Wang et al. teaches MIMO devices that select which antennae is to be used to accomplish uplink adaptation), and directionality of a beam formed by signals transmitted from the array is selected for each preamble transmission based on the transmission parameter (§: 257, Shattil teaches beam forming techniques).

**Consider claim 6.** A method according to claim 5, wherein the transmission chain includes a phase shifting means for shifting the phase of the signals supplied to the individual antennae in the antenna array, the phase shifters being controllable on the basis of the transmission parameter (abstract, col. 1 lines: 34-63, Wang et al. teaches MIMO devices that select which antennae is to be used to accomplish uplink adaptation).

**Consider claim 10.** The apparatus according to claim 9, wherein the transmission chain includes at least two antennae (col. 1 lines: 48-50, Wang et al. teaches multiple input and multiple output antenna processing), and the transmission parameter is configured to determine determines which of the antennae the preamble is transmitted from (abstract, col. 1 lines: 34-63, Wang et

Art Unit: 2617

al. teaches MIMO devices that select which antennae is to be used to accomplish link adaptation).

**Consider claim 11.** The apparatus mobile telecommunications terminal according to claim 10, wherein the preamble is transmitted from only one of the antennae at a time (abstract, col. 1 lines: 34-63, Wang et al. teaches MIMO devices that select which antennae is to be used to accomplish link adaptation).

**Consider claim 13.** The apparatus according to claim 9, wherein the transmission chain includes a plurality of antennae in an antenna array, and directionality of a beam formed by signals transmitted from the array is selected for each preamble transmission based on the transmission parameter (abstract, col. 1 lines: 34-63, Wang et al. teaches MIMO devices that select which antennae is to be used to accomplish uplink adaptation).

**Consider claim 14.** The apparatus according to claim 13, wherein the transmission chain includes a phase shifter configured to shift shifting means for shifting the phase of the signals supplied to the individual antennae in the antenna array, the phase shifters being controllable on the basis of the transmission parameter (abstract, col. 1 lines: 34-63, Wang et al. teaches MIMO devices that select which antennae is to be used to accomplish uplink adaptation).

**Examiner's note:** Examiner has cited particular columns and line numbers and/or paragraphs in the references as applied to the claims above for the convenience of the applicant. Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings of the art

Art Unit: 2617

and are applied to the specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may apply as well. It is respectfully requested from the applicant in preparing responses to fully consider the reference in entirety as potentially teachings all or part of the claimed invention, as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior art or disclosed by the Examiner.

### ***Conclusion***

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DIEGO HERRERA whose telephone number is (571)272-0907. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

Art Unit: 2617

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lester Kincaid can be reached on (571) 272-7922. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Diego Herrera/  
Examiner, Art Unit 2617

/LESTER KINCAID/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617